

PLANNING & REGULATION COMMITTEE – 6 SEPTEMBER 2021

Policy Annex (Relevant Development Plan and other Policies)

Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Core Strategy 2031 (OMWCS)

POLICY M10: RESTORATION OF MINERAL WORKINGS

Mineral workings shall be restored to a high standard and in a timely and phased manner to an after-use that is appropriate to the location and delivers a net gain in biodiversity. The restoration and after-use of mineral workings must take into account:

- the characteristics of the site prior to mineral working;
- the character of the surrounding landscape and the enhancement of local landscape character;
- the amenity of local communities, including opportunities to enhance green infrastructure provision and provide for local amenity uses and recreation;
- the capacity of the local transport network;
- the quality of any agricultural land affected, including the restoration of best and most versatile agricultural land;
- the conservation of soil resources
- flood risk and opportunities for increased flood storage capacity;
- the impacts on flooding and water quality of any use of imported material in the proposed restoration;
- bird strike risk and aviation safety;
- any environmental enhancement objectives for the area;
- the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity appropriate to the local area, supporting the establishment of a coherent and resilient ecological network through the landscape-scale creation of priority habitat;
- the conservation and enhancement of geodiversity;
- the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment; and
- consultation with local communities on options for after-use.

Planning permission will not be granted for mineral working unless satisfactory proposals have been made for the restoration, aftercare and after-use of the site, including where necessary the means of securing them in the longer term.

Proposals for restoration must not be likely to lead to any increase in recreational pressure on a Special Area of Conservation

POLICY C1: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

A positive approach will be taken to minerals and waste development in Oxfordshire, reflecting the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and the aim to improve economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

Planning applications that accord with the policies in this plan will be approved, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Where there are no policies

relevant to the application, or relevant plan policies are out of date, planning permission will be granted unless material considerations indicate otherwise, taking into account whether:

- any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the proposed development when assessed against the National Planning Policy Framework; or
- specific policies in the National Planning Policy Framework indicate that the development should be restricted.

POLICY C2: CLIMATE CHANGE

Proposals for minerals or waste development, including restoration proposals, should take account of climate change for the lifetime of the development from construction through operation and decommissioning. Applications for development should adopt a low carbon approach and measures should be considered to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and provide flexibility for future adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

POLICY C3: FLOODING

Minerals and waste development will, wherever possible, take place in areas with the lowest probability of flooding. Where development takes place in an area of identified flood risk this should only be where alternative locations in areas of lower flood risk have been explored and discounted (using the Sequential Test and Exceptions Test as necessary) and where a flood risk assessment is able to demonstrate that the risk of flooding is not increased from any source, including:

- an impediment to the flow of floodwater;
- the displacement of floodwater and increased risk of flooding elsewhere;
- a reduction in existing floodwater storage capacity;
- an adverse effect on the functioning of existing flood defence structures; and
- the discharge of water into a watercourse.

The opportunity should be taken to increase flood storage capacity in the flood plain where possible, particularly through the restoration of sand and gravel workings.

POLICY C4: WATER ENVIRONMENT

Proposals for minerals and waste development will need to demonstrate that there would be no unacceptable adverse impact on or risk to:

- The quantity or quality of surface or groundwater resources required for habitats, wildlife and human activities;
- The quantity or quality of water obtained through abstraction unless acceptable provision can be made;
- The flow of groundwater at or in the vicinity of the site; and
- Waterlogged archaeological remains.

Proposals for minerals and waste development should ensure that the River Thames and other watercourses and canals of significant landscape, nature conservation, or amenity value are adequately protected from unacceptable adverse impacts.

POLICY C5: LOCAL ENVIRONMENT, AMENITY AND ECONOMY

Proposals for minerals and waste development shall demonstrate that they will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on:

- the local environment;
- human health and safety;
- residential amenity and other sensitive receptors; and
- the local economy;
 - including from:
 - noise;
 - dust;
 - visual intrusion;
 - light pollution;
 - traffic;
 - air quality;
 - odour;
 - vermin;
 - birds;
 - litter;
 - mud on the road;
 - vibration;
 - surface or ground contamination;
 - tip and quarry-slope stability;
 - differential settlement of quarry backfill;
 - subsidence; and
 - the cumulative impact of development.

Where necessary, appropriate separation distances or buffer zones between minerals and waste developments and occupied residential property or other sensitive receptors and/or other mitigation measures will be required, as determined on a site-specific, case-by-case basis.

POLICY C7: BIODIVERSITY AND GEODIVERSITY

Minerals and waste development should conserve and, where possible, deliver a net gain in biodiversity.

The highest level of protection will be given to sites and species of international nature conservation importance (e.g. Special Areas of Conservation and European Protected Species) and development that would be likely to adversely affect them will not be permitted.

In all other cases, development that would result in significant harm will not be permitted unless the harm can be avoided, adequately mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for to result in a net gain in biodiversity (or geodiversity). In addition:

- (i) Development that would be likely to have an adverse effect on a Site of Special Scientific Interest (either individually or in combination with other development)

will not be permitted except where the benefits of the development at this site clearly outweigh both the impacts that it is likely to have on the Site of Special Scientific Interest and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest.

- (ii) Development that would result in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and aged or veteran trees, will not be permitted except where the need for and benefits of the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss.
- (iii) Development shall ensure that no significant harm would be caused to:
 - Local Nature Reserves;
 - Local Wildlife Sites;
 - Local Geology Sites;
 - Sites of Local Importance for Nature Conservation;
 - Protected, priority or notable species and habitats,
 except where the need for and benefits of the development in that location clearly outweigh the harm.

All proposals for mineral working and landfill shall demonstrate how the development will make an appropriate contribution to the maintenance and enhancement of local habitats, biodiversity or geodiversity (including fossil remains and trace fossils), including contributing to the objectives of the Conservation Target Areas wherever possible. Satisfactory long-term management arrangements for restored sites shall be clearly set out and included in proposals. These should include a commitment to ecological monitoring and remediation (should habitat creation and/or mitigation prove unsuccessful).

POLICY C8: LANDSCAPE

Proposals for minerals and waste development shall demonstrate that they respect and where possible enhance local landscape character, and are informed by landscape character assessment. Proposals shall include adequate and appropriate measures to mitigate adverse impacts on landscape, including careful siting, design and landscaping. Where significant adverse impacts cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensatory environmental enhancements shall be made to offset the residual landscape and visual impacts.

Great weight will be given to conserving the landscape and scenic beauty of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and high priority will be given to the enhancement of their natural beauty. Proposals for minerals and waste development within an AONB or that would significantly affect an AONB shall demonstrate that they take this into account and that they have regard to the relevant AONB Management Plan. Major developments within AONBs will not be permitted except in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated they are in the public interest, in accordance with the 'major developments test' in the NPPF (paragraph 116). Development within AONBs shall normally only be small-scale, to meet local needs and should be sensitively located and designed.

POLICY C10: TRANSPORT

Minerals and waste development will be expected to make provision for safe and suitable access to the advisory lorry routes shown on the Oxfordshire Lorry Route Maps in ways that maintain and, if possible, lead to improvements in:

- the safety of all road users including pedestrians;
- the efficiency and quality of the road network; and
- residential and environmental amenity, including air quality.

Where development leads to a need for improvement to the transport network to achieve this, developers will be expected to provide such improvement or make an appropriate financial contribution.

Where practicable minerals and waste developments should be located, designed and operated to enable the transport of minerals and/or waste by rail, water, pipeline or conveyor.

Where minerals and/or waste will be transported by road:

- a) mineral workings should as far as practicable be in locations that minimise the road distance to locations of demand for the mineral, using roads suitable for lorries, taking into account the distribution of potentially workable mineral resources; and
- b) waste management and recycled aggregate facilities should as far as practicable be in locations that minimise the road distance from the main source(s) of waste, using roads suitable for lorries, taking into account that some facilities are not economic or practical below a certain size and may need to serve a wider than local area.

Proposals for minerals and waste development that would generate significant amounts of traffic will be expected to be supported by a transport assessment or transport statement, as appropriate, including mitigation measures where applicable.

POLICY C11: RIGHTS OF WAY

The integrity and amenity value of the rights of way network shall be maintained and if possible it shall be retained in situ in safe and useable condition. Diversions should be safe, attractive and convenient and, if temporary, shall be reinstated as soon as possible. If permanent diversions are required, these should seek to enhance and improve the public rights of way network.

Improvements and enhancements to the rights of way network will generally be encouraged and public access sought to restored mineral workings, especially if this can be linked to wider provision of green infrastructure. Where appropriate, operators and landowners will be expected to make provision for this as part of the restoration and aftercare scheme.

POLICY C12: GREEN BELT

Proposals that constitute inappropriate development in the Green Belt, will not be permitted except in very special circumstances. 'Very special circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.

Conditions may be imposed on any permission granted to ensure that the development only serves to meet a need that comprises or forms an 'other consideration' in the Green Belt balance leading to the demonstration of very special circumstances.

Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part 1

CORE POLICY 1: PRESUMPTION IN FAVOUR OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Planning applications that accord with this Local Plan (and where relevant, with any subsequent Development Plan Documents or Neighbourhood Plans) will be approved, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise, and unless:

- i. any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole, or
- ii. specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.

CORE POLICY 13: THE OXFORD GREEN BELT

The Oxford Green Belt area in the Vale, as amended following the local Green Belt Review, will continue to be protected to maintain its openness and permanence.

Development will be permitted in the following settlements, which are inset to the Green Belt (as shown on the Adopted Policies Map). Where the proposed development is within the existing built area of the village and in accordance with **Core Policies 3 and 4**:

- Appleton
- Botley
- Cumnor
- Kennington
- North Hinksey
- Radley, and
- Wootton

Proposals for inappropriate development will not be approved except in very special circumstances*.

The construction of new buildings in the Green Belt is considered inappropriate except where they are:

- i. buildings for agriculture or forestry
- ii. provision of appropriate facilities for outdoor sport, outdoor recreation and for cemeteries, as long as it preserves the openness of the Green Belt and does not conflict with the purposes of including land within it
- iii. the extension or alteration of a building provided it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building
- iv. the replacement of a building, provided the new building is in the same use and not materially larger than the one it replaces
- v. limited infilling in Shippon, South Hinksey, Wootton Old Village and Wytham
- vi. limited affordable housing for local community needs as set out in **Core Policy 24**, or
- vii. limited infilling or the partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed sites, whether redundant or in continuing use (excluding temporary buildings) which would not have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt and the purpose of including land within it than the existing development.

The following forms of development are also not inappropriate in the Green Belt provided they preserve the openness of the Green Belt and do not conflict with the purposes of including land in the Green Belt:

- mineral extraction
- engineering operations
- local transport infrastructure that can demonstrate a requirement for a Green Belt location
- the re-use of buildings, provided that they are permanent and of substantial construction, and
- development brought forward under a Community Right to Build Order.

*'very special circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations (NPPF, Paragraph 88)

CORE POLICY 33: NATURAL RESOURCES

All development proposals will be required to make provision for the efficient use of natural resources, including:

- i. making adequate provision for the recycling of waste
- ii. using recycled and energy efficient materials
- iii. minimising waste
- iv. maximising passive solar heating, lighting, natural ventilation, energy and water efficiency and reuse of materials

- v. causing no deterioration and, where possible, achieving improvements in water and air quality
- vi. ensuring that the land is of a suitable quality for development and that remediation of contaminated land is undertaken where necessary, and
- vii. re-using previously developed land provided it is not of high environmental value.

CORE POLICY 42: FLOOD RISK

The risk and impact of flooding will be minimised through:

- i. directing new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding
- ii. ensuring that all new development addresses the effective management of all sources of flood risk
- iii. ensuring that development does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere, and
- iv. ensuring wider environmental benefits of development in relation to flood risk.

The suitability of development proposed in flood zones will be strictly assessed using the Sequential Test, and, where necessary, the Exceptions Test. A sequential approach should be used at site level.

A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for all developments of 1 hectare and greater in Flood Zone 1 and, for all proposals for new development, including minor development and change of use in Flood Zone 2 and 3 and, in Critical Drainage Areas, and also where proposed development or a change of use to a more vulnerable class that may be subject to other forms of flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures will be required to be implemented.

All development proposals must be assessed against the Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and the Oxfordshire Local Flood Risk Management Strategy to address locally significant flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures must be implemented.

All development will be required to provide a drainage strategy. Developments will be expected to incorporate sustainable drainage systems and ensure that run-off rates are attenuated to greenfield run-off rates. Higher rates would need to be justified and the risks quantified. Developers should strive to reduce run-off rates for existing developed sites.

Sustainable drainage systems should seek to enhance water quality and biodiversity in line with the Water Framework Directive (WFD).

CORE POLICY 44: LANDSCAPE

The key features that contribute to the nature and quality of the Vale of White Horse District's landscape will be protected from harmful development and where possible enhanced, in particular:

- i. features such as trees, hedgerows, woodland, field boundaries, watercourses and water bodies
- ii. important landscape settings of settlements
- iii. topographical features
- iv. areas or features of cultural and historic value
- v. important views and visually sensitive skylines, and
- vi. tranquillity and the need to protect against intrusion from light pollution, noise, and motion.

Where development is acceptable in principle, measures will be sought to integrate it into the landscape character and/or the townscape of the area. Proposals will need to demonstrate how they have responded to the above aspects of landscape character and will be expected to:

- vii. incorporate appropriate landscape proposals that reflect the character of the area through appropriate design and management
- viii. preserve and promote local distinctiveness and diversity and, where practical, enhance damaged landscape areas.

High priority will be given to conservation and enhancement of the natural beauty of the North Wessex Downs AONB and planning decisions will have regard to its setting. Proposals that support the economy and social wellbeing of communities located in the AONB, including affordable housing schemes, will be encouraged, provided they do not conflict with the aims of conservation and enhancement.

CORE POLICY 45: GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

A net gain in Green Infrastructure, including biodiversity, will be sought either through on-site provision or off-site contributions and the targeted use of other funding sources. A net loss of Green Infrastructure, including biodiversity, through development proposals, will be resisted.

Proposals for new development must provide adequate Green Infrastructure in line with the Green Infrastructure Strategy. All major applications must be accompanied by a Statement demonstrating that they have taken into account the relationship of the proposed development to existing Green Infrastructure and how this will be retained and enhanced. Proposals will be required to contribute to the delivery of new Green Infrastructure and/or the improvement of existing assets including Conservation Target Areas in accordance with the standards in the Green Infrastructure Strategy and Habitats Regulations Assessment.

CORE POLICY 46: CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF BIODIVERSITY

Development that will conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity in the district will be permitted. Opportunities for biodiversity gain, including the connection of sites, large-scale habitat restoration, enhancement and habitat re-creation will be actively sought, with a primary focus on delivery in the Conservation Area Target Areas. A net loss of biodiversity will be avoided.

The highest level of protection will be given to sites and species of international nature conservation importance (Special Areas of Conservation and European Protected Species). Development that is likely to result in a significant effect, either alone or in combination, on such sites and species will need to satisfy the requirements of the Habitat Regulations*.

Development likely to result in the loss, deterioration or harm to habitats or species of importance to biodiversity or of importance for geological conservation interests, either directly or indirectly, will not be permitted unless:

- i. the need for, and benefits of, the development in the proposed location outweighs the adverse effect on the relevant biodiversity interest;
- ii. it can be demonstrated that it could not reasonably be located on an alternative site that would result in less or no harm to the biodiversity interests; and
- iii. measures can be provided (and are secured through planning conditions or legal agreements), that would avoid, mitigate against or, as a last resort, compensate for, the adverse effects likely to result from development.

The habitats and species of importance to biodiversity and sites of geological interest considered in relation to points i) and iii) comprise:

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
- Local Wildlife Sites
- Local Nature Reserves
- Priority Habitats and species listed in the national and local Biodiversity Action Plan
- Ancient Woodland and veteran trees
- Legally Protected Species
- Local Important Geological Sites

The level of protection and mitigation should be proportionate to the status of the habitat or species and its importance individually and as part of a wider network.

It is recognised that habitats/areas not considered above (*i.e. Nationally or Locally designated and not priority habitats*) can still have a significant biodiversity value within their local context, particularly where they are situated within a Conservation Target Area and/or they have good potential to be restored to priority habitat status or form/have good potential to form links between priority habitats or act as corridors for priority species. These habitats will be given due weight in the consideration or planning applications. If significant harm to these sites cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts) it will be expected that mitigation will be provided to avoid a net loss in biodiversity or, as a last resort, compensation will be required to offset the impacts and achieve a net gain in biodiversity.

*Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992.

Vale of the White Horse Local Plan Part 2 (VLP2)

DEVELOPMENT POLICY 23: IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT ON AMENITY

Development proposals should demonstrate that they will not result in significant adverse impacts on the amenity of neighbouring uses when considering both individual and cumulative impacts in relation to the following factors:

- i. loss of privacy, daylight or sunlight
- ii. dominance or visual intrusion
- iii. noise or vibration
- iv. dust, heat, odour, gases or other emissions
- v. pollution, contamination or the use of/or storage of hazardous substances; and
- vi. external lighting.

DEVELOPMENT POLICY 25: NOISE POLLUTION

Noise-Generating Development

Noise-generating development that would have an impact on environmental amenity or biodiversity will be expected to provide an appropriate scheme of mitigation that should take account of:

- i. the location, design and layout of the proposed development
- ii. existing levels of background noise
- iii. measures to reduce or contain generated noise, and
- iv. hours of operation and servicing.

Development will not be permitted if mitigation cannot be provided within an appropriate design or standard^a.

Noise-sensitive Development

Noise-sensitive development in locations likely to be affected by existing sources of noise^b will be expected to provide an appropriate scheme of mitigation to ensure appropriate standards of amenity are achieved for future occupiers of the proposed development, taking account of:

- i. the location, design and layout of the proposed development
- ii. measures to reduce noise within the development to acceptable levels, including external areas, and
- iii. the need to maintain adequate levels of natural light and ventilation to habitable areas of the development.

In areas of existing noise, proposals for noise-sensitive development should be accompanied by an assessment of environmental noise and an appropriate scheme of mitigation measures.

Development will not be permitted if mitigation cannot be provided to an appropriate standard with an acceptable design.

^aCurrently set out in British Standards 4142:2014 and 8233:2014. The Council is currently developing guidance relating to noise mitigation.

^bBusy roads, railway lines, aerodromes, industrial/commercial developments, waste, recycling and energy plant, and sporting, recreation and leisure facilities.
Development Policy 24: Noise Pollution.

DEVELOPMENT POLICY 26: AIR QUALITY

Development proposals that are likely to have an impact on local air quality, including those in, or within relative proximity to, existing or potential Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) will need to demonstrate measures/mitigation that are incorporated into the design to minimise any impacts associated with air quality.

Where sensitive development is proposed in areas of existing poor air quality and/or where significant development is proposed, an air quality assessment will be required.

The Council will require applicants to demonstrate that the development will minimise the impact on air quality, both during the construction process and lifetime of the completed development, either through a redesign of the development proposal or, where this is not possible or sufficient, through appropriate mitigation in accordance with current guidance.

Mitigation measures will need to demonstrate how the proposal would make a positive contribution towards the aims of the Council's Air Quality Action Plan.

Mitigation measures will be secured either through a negotiation on a scheme, or via the use of a planning condition and/or planning obligation depending on the scale and nature of the development and its associated impacts on air quality.

DEVELOPMENT POLICY 30: WATERCOURSES

Development of land that contains or is adjacent to a watercourse will only be permitted where it would not have a detrimental impact on the function or setting of the watercourse or its biodiversity, or the detrimental impact can be appropriately mitigated.

Plans for development adjacent to or encompassing a watercourse should include a minimum 10 m buffer zone along both sides of the watercourse to create a corridor of land and water favourable to the enhancement of biodiversity.

Proposals which involve culverting a watercourse are unlikely to be considered acceptable.

Development which is located within 20 m of a watercourse will require a construction management plan to be agreed with the Council before commencement

of work to ensure that the watercourse will be satisfactorily protected from damage, disturbance or pollution.

DEVELOPMENT POLICY 31: PROTECTION OF PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY, NATIONAL TRAILS AND OPEN ACCESS AREAS

Development on and/or over public rights of way will be permitted where the development can be designed to accommodate satisfactorily the existing route, or where the right of way is incorporated into the development site as an attractive, safe and continuous route. Alternative routes will need to be made equally or more attractive, safe and convenient to rights of way users.

The Council will actively seek opportunities to improve the accessibility and the addition of new connections and status upgrades to the existing rights of way network including National Trails. Proposals of this nature will be supported where they would not lead to increased pressure on sensitive sites, such as those of important ecological value.

Development will not be permitted where proposals remove, narrow or materially impair the approved line of the Thames Path or Ridgeway National Trails, key connecting routes, and/or public access to them.

Radley Neighbourhood Plan

POLICY PP.2. GREEN BELT

The Green Belt round Radley will be protected to maintain its openness and permanence. Inappropriate development outside the strategic sites and the Green Belt 'inset' area will not be supported except in the very special circumstance as identified in the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CP13 of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 (Part 1).

POLICY PP.8. RADLEY LAKES

Development which directly promotes nature conservation and/or quiet recreation in the area will be supported, subject to the following criteria:

- comply with CP13, which sets out limited circumstances in which Green Belt development might be appropriate;
- avoid detriment to the wider landscape within which the site sits, and be accompanied by any natural screening needed to achieve that;
- comply with CP42, which requires a site-specific flood risk assessment for all development in Flood Zone 2 and 3 and for appropriate mitigation and management measures to be implemented;
- avoid detriment to the integrity of the local ecology by incorporating appropriate measures in development proposals. Where appropriate these will include avoidance measures, mitigation measures and compensation measures; and
- include appropriate transport mitigation.

Development which creates new or revised access routes to or through the area will be supported, subject to the five criteria above if it, directly or indirectly:

- improves the safety and amenity of access to the area by pedestrians and cyclists; and
- contributes to the promotion of nature conservation and quiet recreation in the Radley Lakes area.

Any other development will be supported only if it meets the five criteria above and

- is confined to currently built-up land;
- is served by a suitable and safe access route that avoids conflict between vehicles accessing the site and pedestrians and cyclists accessing the wider area.

POLICY PP.9. ROADS

New development will be supported where it complies with other development plan policies and can be satisfactorily accommodated within the existing highways network.

Where appropriate new development should support the achievement of the Radley Roads Strategy and provide, financially or in kind, for the mitigation of the effects of the development on the road network.

POLICY PP.13. SURFACE DRAINAGE

Development will be supported where it is demonstrated that surface water drainage will not add to the existing site run-off or cause any adverse impact to neighbouring properties and the surrounding environment. Unless demonstrated to be inappropriate sites should incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage (SUDs), with run off rates no greater than greenfield sites. SUDs where possible should be designed so as to contribute towards the landscaping and biodiversity of the sites and provision should be made for their future maintenance.